THE VALUE OF "ALTAN TOBČI" IN REGARDS OF THE HISTORY OF TURKISH LANGUAGE*

TUNCER GÜLENSOY**

After having five lines inscription Mongolian language which is written on the stone with Uygur letters admitted the most elder written document of Mongolian language, the other most important document is monument where Turkish translation done by Ahmet Temir named "The Secret History of the Mongols".

Begin with the 13 Century Mongolian history and about the Mongolian tradiational pedigree until the time of Ogedei which covers the most elder knowledge other then the most well-known monument *Altan Tobči* which is known world-wide.

According to the different point of view, also Altan Tobči suppose to be written in an old century or in the year of 1604 which is just about similar to "The Secret History of the Mongols". But the similarity which is observed between Altan Tobči and "The Secret History of the Mongols" are not identically the same. Only those are the similarity which is observed as a being cronogically.

In the western literature, the most perfect article of *Altan Tobči* is done by Charles R. Bawden. In this article, noted transcription and the English translation of the monument are given.

At the introduction, the wide knowledge are placed in this article until the year of 1955 also the name of the article ant the subject of its are emhisized.

Bawden has been written about the subject as follows (pp. 1-13):

I. Introduction.

The name of the Mongol chronicle Altan Tobči, which signifies 'Golden Summary' has been well known for nearly one hundred years, since the

^{*} This paper had submitted as a procedding for XXXII th PIAC (Permanent International Altaistic Conference, 11-16 June 1989 in Olso).

^{**} Professor, University of Erciyes.

publication in 1858 of edition the text together with a Russian translation by the Lama Galsan Gomboev. There has however never been a translation of the Altan Tobči into any other western language, and though the chronicle has frequently been referred to in academic literature, such references have perforce been made to the imperfect text of Gomboev, and to his inadequate translation. The Altan Tobči has received less than its due amount of consideration in the last hundred years, perhaps because the publication in 1829 of I. J. Schmidt's translation of the chronicle of Sayang Secen, a translation which in spite of its many deficiencies has never been replaced and still consitutes the standard edition of Sayang Secen, may have appeared to forestall critical work on the Altan Tobči, which covers the same ground as Sayang Secen, but is a much shorter work. Thus, with the exception of the recently discovered 'Secret History of the Mongols' of which a German translation by Haenisch and a partial translation by Pelliot have been published, the chronicle of Sayang Secen has been the sole representative example of Mongol historical literature hitherto known to the west.

As an historical record the Altan Tobči is perhaps not of first rate importance. For the period of the rise to power of the Mongols under Cinggis Qan it is overshadowed, as must be any late record, by the Secret History of the Mongols. This record of the early Mongols and the reign of Cgis, besides being almost contemporary with the events which it describes, was embalmed in a transcription into Chinese characters, and thus has remained unaltered by later hands until the present day. For the later period, has is the history of the Mongols during the Yüan dynasty, which is treated by Altan Tobči in the most perfunctory manner, and their history after their return to Mongolia from the beginning of the Ming dynasty until the early years of the seventeenth century, there are detailed and reliable sources in Chinese, which have been turned to account, principally by Pokotilov Franke and Wada Sei. The sociological and organisational detail which is to be found in Altan Tobci has been extracted and minutely dealt with by Vladimirtsov. There remains however the task of providing a critical translation, based on the several texts of Altan Tobci which have become available in the last thirty years, and it is hoped that the present work may supply this need.

II. Available Texts.

As far as is known to me, there are no manuscripts of Altan Tobči available to the western world. According to Zamcarano there are three

manuscripts in the Mss. Section of the Oriental Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR. Of there, one, that called Ms. B by Zamcarano, is the manuscript from which Gomboev prepared his edition. Another manuscript, or manuscripts, was in the possession of the Mongol Book Company Meng Wen Shu She, Mongyyı Bicig-ün Qoriya, in Peking, and from this was printed the text found in the collection Cinggis Qayan-u Cadig, but I have no information as to the present whereabouts of this manuscript. There does exist in Paris a manuscript of the Altan Tobči Nova, which as will be shown later, contains, in a rather different arrangement, most of the text of Altan Tobči. This manuscript, which belonged to Pelliot, and was made by Jamyang-Güng. President of the Scientific Committee of the People Republic of Mongolia, has been deposited in the Bibliotheque Nationale, Its relation to the printed edition of Altan Tobči Nova has been briefly dealt with by L. Hambis. In the absence of manuscripts, the critical work in the present study has been based on printed texts, of which there have been five, partial or complete, available to me. I describe here these editions, and give an assessment of their value.

III. Translations.

Until recent times the only translation of Altan Tobči was that of Gomboev. Owing to insufficient knowledge of Russian, I have been unable to refer directly to this translation, but both Vladimirtsov and Zamcarano criticise it adversely, the former remarking: 'On peut affirmer sans hesitation qu'une personne ne connaissant pas à fond la langue mongole et n'ayant pas accès. d'autre part, aux manuscrits adéquats, ne peut se servir ni de l'Histoire de Sanang-säcän traduite par I. J. Schmidt, ni de l'Altan tobci dans la traduction de G. Gomboev; cette dernière, tout particulièrement, dénature complètement le texte de l'Altan Tobci.' Zamcarano gives a number of examples of the inaccuracies of Gomboev's translation. In the few instances where I quote Gomboev's version, reference has been made via the Japanese version of short passages which are translated from time to time in the notes to MN.

Apart from this Russian translation there exist only translations into Japanese. The earliest in an uncompleted version undertaken by *Demura Ryoichi*; this is referred to by *Kobayashi* in the introduction to MO, and also by *Z.Ishihama*. The work, which was begun with the collaboration of *Wada Sei*, was interrupted by the death of *Demura*, and as far as I know, the translation was never published.

Next to appear was a partial translation by Yamamoto Mamoru, which was published in Toyosi Tenleyu. This translation covers only the text as far

as p. 62 line 7, sayuba; that is, approximately half the text. It was made from the unsatisfactory text P2, and contains a number of errors and untranslated phrases which later study has rendered susceptible of elucidation.

In March 1939 appeared the first complete translation into any language since Gomboev's. This was Kobayshi's. 'Moko Nendaiki'. Like Yamamoto's translation, it too was based on the second edition of the 'Cadig'. In 1941 there appeared a revised edition of this translation under the title 'Moko Ogonshi'. This book, which was a popular edition, corrected some errors of translation in the earlier edition, and omitted the text and the great majority of the notes. Kobayashi's work in not entirely satisfactory. It starts with the disadvantage of having been made from an inferior text and the translator relies heavily upon the version of Gomboev, and on the explanation of the latter in translating difficult passages. A very few of the misunderstandings in Kobayashi's translation have been referred to in the notes in the present study, but this does not pretend to be a criticism of other translations, and generally speaking these are not referred to unless to help elucidate on obscure passage.

A partial translation into Japanese by Fujioka is found in the Kharchin text, published in 1940. This is a careful version, but is a posthumous work, which would no doubt have been revised by the author before publication.

A study of Altan Tobči, entitled Altan Tobči, I, was published in December 1954 by Ozowa Shigeo I have been unable to see this book so far, but it is said to contain parallel romanised versions of the texts G, Pl and P2 as far as Pl, page 2l, iregsen-ü qoyina qan yeke oron saybai, together with a Japanese interlinear translation, and copious notes. The last 35 pages of the book are devoted to a study of the suffix-run in the language of the Secret History.

IV. The Composition of Altan Tobči.

A—Date of Composition

Any discussion of the date of composition of the Altan Tobci must be preceded by some consideration of the nature of the text itself. In spite of speculation as to the authorship of this chronicle, this question remains unsolved. The one name which has been put forward, that of Mergen Gegen has little to recommend it, since according to Heissig, Mergen Gegen of the Urad was the author of an Altan Tobci which appeared in 1765, and our

Altan Tobči, which is a different text, must in any case, as will be shown below, have attained its completed from a considerable time before this.

The theory of the nature of the composition of Altan Tobči which I wish to propose is that the text does not represent a homogenous chronicle which is the original work of one author, as is the case with the chronicle of Sayang Sečen, but that it is an accretion of traditional legends, written and oral, interspersed with traditional poems and proverbial sayings, and with one or two passages of a more strictly historical nature. As to the ultimate sources of this disparate material 1 shall say nothing, but merely aim to show that it is unreal to speak of a date of composition of the text, the most one can do being to propose dates before and after which any particular version of the text cannot have been written down.

The first attempt at dating the composition of Altan Tobci was made by Savel'ev in his introduction Gomboev's edition, where he argued that as it chronicle mentions the accession of Lindan Qayan, which took place in the year of the Dragon, 1604, the chronicle must be dated at about this time, alhough the material preserves archaisms which point to en earlier origin. The date of 1604 has unfortunately come to be considered as the definite date of composition of the text.

B-The Literary Position of Altan Tobci

Laufer characterizes Altan Tobci as occupying an intermediate position between the Secret History of the Mongols and the chronicle of Sayang Secen. Apart from the Secret History, it is the earliest Mongol chronicle which is now available. The material of which it composed dates from different epochs. As has been observed above, much of the material which froms the first part of the text has been thought to date from the thirteenth century. The question of the origin and tradition of the legends concerning the life of Cinggis, as also of those concerning Qubilai, has been fully dealt with by Heissig in BE, chapter IV and I can add nothig to the discussion. In connection with the narration of events of the period of the Ming dynasty, as related by Bolur Erike, Heissig has also referred extensively to the parallel accounts of these events as given by Altan Tobci and Sayang Secen. I propose therefore to refer briefly to the relationship between Altan Tobči and the Secret History, but more detailed attention will be paid to the relationship between Altan Tobči and the Chinese Meng Ku Shih Hsi P'u. This text has been occasionally referred to in academic publications, but has never been examined even in outline.

Altan Tobči is the earliest chronicle available, apart from the Secret History, and to the latter it appears to owe little, except perhaps in inspiration. Poppe says: "Zahlreiche Exzerpte au dem Yüan-cho'ao pi-shi finden sich in allen späteren Geschichtswerken der Mongolen: im Altan Tobči, das Gomboev herausgegeben hat, in der Geschichte des Sagang Sečen a.u." but this opinion is not strictly accurate. Altan Tobči contains but one excerpt from the Secret History. This is the section in P1 from p. 19 to p. 21 which corresponds closely to certain paragraphs of the Secret History, and which has already been noticed under II, 3 above; and even this passage does not occur in the text published by Gamboev".

We brifly mention about the properties of *Altan Tobči* as above, not only it is important view of the Mongolistics but also it is a good quality source for turkology as well.

In 126 paragraph of the work;

- 1) The name of the persons,
- 2) Tribe, troop, clan, race, the name of the carve,
- 3) The name of the place,
- 4) The name of the matter not to be kept in sight by the point of view comparatively Turkish Language History.

The whole of *Altan Tobči* is translated by us and published in three parts such as in Turkish History Society, *Belleten*, Vol. 152. (October 1974), Vol. 196 (April 1986), Vol. 199 (April 1987).

At the end of the third part, "persons name index", "tribe, troop, clan, race, carve and the name of the place index" is given.

The Mongolian Language words (Mongolian Language ~Turkish Language) which is explained in notes also is demonstrated as an index.

Also, one property of Turkish translation of *Altan Tobči*, common words of Turkish or Mongolian ~Turkish language which is used each paragraph of monument is demonstrated in details as a foot-notes.

The aim of this paper is to call attention to the academic staff which is mentioned above.

Having consider whole part of monument, however it does not contain number of many Turkish or Mongolian Turkish language words, but it is the level which shows the way to the language history for one century. This means that is also a criterion for comperatively altayistics-work.

TURKISH OR TURKISH~MONGOLIAN PERSONAL NAMES IN "ALTAN TOBCI"

Agbarçin 71-75, 77, 78, 92, 123

Agbolad (Agabolad) 76

Aka Temür-yin (Sigüse) 108

Alag 103, 108 Alagçi 114, 117

Alag Temür, 72, 88

Al Altan 26

Al Bugura 106

Altai Katun 75

Altan 26, 34, 94, 95

Arig 123

Arsalan (Ogoçitu Kagan) 2

Biligtü Kagan 5

Buka 53-55

Büri Böke 24

Casag tümen kagan 124, 125

Cigan/Çigan 102, 103

Ere Bogda 85, 104

Erke Kongor 6

Esen Tükel 108

Gök Han 58

Kara Batai 110

Katan Temür 74

Kutug-tu Kagan 5, 52

Möngke 85, 86 ...

Ölcei 112

Ölcei-tü 5, 50, 63-65

Temüçin 12-14 ...

Temür 100, 101, 114, 118

Togan (Tayisi) 6

Törü (Bolod) 105, 119

Ului Temür 5, 66

Ulus 105, 112, 119

TRIBE, RACE AND PLACE NAMES

Alagçigud 73, 102, 103, 115

Al Kosigun (place name) 72

Altai Kan 49, 76

Erig Usun 7

Baras (Baras) Kota(n) 56

Bayikal 27

Borcigin 12, 18, 115

Boro Nokai (river name) 67

Çağan Tümen 66

Çeçeg-tü göl (place name)

Irtis/Ergi/ertis (river name) 31

Karaçin 96

Katun-i goul (river name) 43

Kızıl göl 86

Kangurat 12, 13, 19, 98

Korçin 24, 93, 102 vb.

Korçin Tümen 120

Köke Kota (Island name) 61

Kutug-tu Kan (mountain name) 48

Tatar 12, 13, 95, 108

Temür Ulku (Körbelgin Gooa

Hatun'un mezarı 43

Tenggis 4

MATTER NAMES

Altan tamaga 125

ayimag 84

barag 15

darkan 24, 64, 117

dokuz örlög 30

esüg "kımız" 9, 17

bing bars cil 21 kagen yeke oron 21

Boro (the horse name of Duwa

Sokur) 122 Kan-Tengri 103 boro karçigai "boz doğan" 9 kara bulaka 20 bögöter konggur morin 20 kara-kacin kuşu 39 börte çinoa 15 kara mokai cil

TURKISH OR TURKISH~MONGOLIAN WORDS

ALTAN TOPCI

DÎVÂNU LUGÂTI'TTURK

aba 39 aba, apa

acırga 79

aka 4

alag 72 ala altan 1, 26 altun amarag 48 amrak anda 66 and

aral 120

arsalan 2, 41 arslan ayagan 36 ayak

ayil 96

ayimag 84

baga 78 baka

bagatur 88

balgasun 43 balık

baras 15, 41; baras 22, 28 bars, pars

batu 31

bayan 80 bay

belge 50 belgü, belgülüg

biçig-tü 59 bilig bol-101 bolboro 4, 67 boz

bölüg 55 bugu 38

bugura 13 bogra buka 39 boka

burkan 21 burhan, furhan

caka 99 yaka

calbari- 105	yalwar-
carlig 25	yarlıg
casag 6, 25	yasag (k)
cigan 102	cıgan, çıgay
çak 30	
çeçeg	çeçek
çerig, çireg 66	çerig
çilagun 22	taş
darkan 24	tarhan
ecen 83	eçe, eke, eze
et tawar 55	tawar
egeçi 99	
ekin 107	ekin
emle- 101	emle-
erdeni 36	erdem-erdini
erte 56	erken
kagan 49	han
kamug 29	kamug
kanglı 46	kanglı
kara 22	kara
karangu 22	karanggu, karangku
karçikai 9, 22	karlıgaç, kargılaç
katun 11, 22	katun
kaya 26	kaya
kele-tü 38	keleçü
konin 49	kon, koy
kög 48	kög
kök(e) 3	kök
köteçi 111	
kudug 39	kudug
kula 41	kula
kutuk (tai) 5	kut
küç(ün) 88	küç
külüg 43	külüg
kürke (güregen) 12	
meçin 50	biçin
minggan 3	ming
möngke 91	menggü
naçin 27	laçın
0	

nom

nom 3

ordu 47	ordu
orun 49	orun
otog 84	otog
ölke 61	
öngge 43	öng, önglüg
saçu-103	saçig (Old Uigur Turkish)
sira 25, 40	sarıg
sonkur 12, 22	songkur
sün 101	süt
sürüg 82	sürüg
tamga, tamaga 37	tamga
tawar 55	tavar
temege(n) 70	tewe
temür 1	temür
tengri 4	tengri, Tengri
töbed 123	Töbet(lemek)
törü 30	törü
tusa	tusu
ulus 25	uluş
urug 99	urug
üker 49	öküz

Look separetely

abalacu 63	dugalaga 66	manglai 22	tani- 103
acirga 79	eme 29	merged 124	tarbaga 18
agta 14	gakai 50	noyan 36	taulai 50
aman 29	kabirga 41	nöker 30	tegüs 105
amur 123	karagul 40	olca 82	toguga 108
araki 120	katagu 88	sagadag 101	tolo 78
aral 120	kereye 22	salkin 72	109
baragun gar 34	keseg 101	samur 106	torga 80
bogda 25, 40	kir 42	sayin l	tölge 111
bogorçi 18	kongkar 6	serigügen 56	tug 37
borugan 56	kota(n) 50	silu(n) (Tü.şilen)	tüle-110
casagul 24	kuda 12	109	usun 29
cil 49	kugur 48	sokur 7	üre 41
daruga 53	kurim 78	suburgar 26	yada 56
dörbelcin 115	kut 31	süke 122	yeke 25
dugulga, dugluga,	luu 52	tala 106	yosun 25